Public Health Service



Food and Drug Administration 2094 Gaither Road Rockville MD 20850

JAN 8 2007

WARNING LETTER

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Charles D. O'Shaughnessy, MD North Ohio Heart Center 125 E. Broad Street Elyria, OH 44035

Dear Dr. O'Shaughnessy:

Administration (FDA) inspection conducted at you	able conditions observed during the Food and Drug ar clinical site from October 26 through November 9, vistrict Office. The purpose of this inspection was to do to your participation in the studies titled
	(degionated house and
under sponsored by	(designated herein as the study),
devices as that term is defined in section 201(h) of 21 U.S.C. 321(h). This letter also discusses your N	ons. The coronary stents used for both studies are the Federal Food Drug and Cosmetic Act (the Act)

The inspection was conducted under a program designed to ensure that data and information contained in requests for Investigational Device Exemptions (IDE), Premarket Approval (PMA) applications, and Premarket Notification submissions (510(k)) are scientifically valid and accurate. Another objective of the program is to ensure that human subjects are protected from undue hazard or risk during the course of scientific investigations.

Our review of the inspection report prepared by the district office revealed several serious violations of Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations (21 CFR.) Part 812 -- Investigational Device Exemptions and Part 50 -- Protection of Human Subjects. At the close of the inspection, the FDA investigators presented a form FDA 483 - "Inspectional Observations" for your review, and discussed the observations listed on

the form with you. The deviations noted on the FDA 483, your written response, and our subsequent review of the inspection report are discussed below:

the sp	re to ensure an investigation is conducted in accordance with the signed agreement with onsor, the investigational plan, applicable FDA regulations, and any conditions of val imposed by FDA or the IRB [21 CFR 812.100, 21 CFR 812.110(b)].
	ling the study, you failed to adhere to the above-stated regulations. Examples of this include but are not limited to the following:
a.) At l i.	least 8 of the 47 subjects enrolled in the study failed to meet eligibility criteria. Specifically: Subject had a non-study less than 24 hours prior to the study procedure, which was prohibited by the study protocol.
ii. iii.	Subjects and each received a non-study, stent in a prior to the study procedure, which was a clinical exclusion criterion. Subject was being treated with on admission to the hospital and after the
iv.	study procedure, which was prohibited by the study protocol. Subject had a baseline which showed the
v.	The was for the study, while the protocol requires the Subjects and had pre-procedure , which was a clinical exclusion criterion.
b.) The	study protocol states '
the s	" At least 13 of the 47 subjects enrolled in and and and
c.) The	protocol specified that
	, and provided a guide for The protocol also stated '
	[33]
	. Specifically:

Subject #		recommend protocol	led by the	used
		protocor		
enrolled into i. Subjects	the study. spe	ility.	id not have	, and/or ch were required for
protocol. The Specifically, performed Regarding the	e study protoco Subjects	enrolled into the study did no lalso specified that , a, a, you failed to adhere to the alimited to the following:	and had the	as required by the required tests
f.) Subject which was th is an e	has a note e treated xclusion criteri	in the study record that the in the study, was on.		,
g.) The protocol the the		as documented as yet was required in order to a	a	protocol states " " For Subject was selected for
study coordinator "summary with s prior to the enroll appropriate resea	physic r, and the hospi pecific lment of each p rch staff and co	vember 10, 2006, you stated the ians' part", and "inadvertent" tall laboratory. You also stated criteria will be made avaitent" and that the inspection investigators. Your responses for ensuring that all study states.	errors by the d that, as correct ailable to the phantings were a trivial in adequate.	supervisor, the tive actions, a sysicians to review reviewed with the

	to perf but you	orm study tasks delegated to them. You may delegate study tasks to other qualified personnel, may not delegate your responsibility to ensure that all study tasks are correctly performed.
	protoco will on signed	linical Investigator, you are also required to follow the study protocol exactly as it is written, the protocol is amended by the study sponsor or the study sponsor gives prior approval for a ol deviation. The study protocol states "this protocol is to be followed exactly, and ly be altered by written amendments." Additionally, you and your co-investigators also a Clinical Study Agreement in which you agreed to take responsibility for conducting the ccording to the protocol and to supervise all testing of the study device.
2.	Failur exposu	e to maintain accurate, complete, and current records of each subject's case history and tre to the device [21 CFR 812.140(a)(3)].
		ling the study, you failed to adhere to the above-stated regulations. Examples of this include but are not limited to the following:
	a.) The in in i. iii. iii. iv. v. vi.	baseline percentage of study vessel blockage as recorded in the study records was consistent for at least 6 of the 47 subjects enrolled into the study. For example: Subject the at baseline and the discharge summary record for the while the case report form (CRF) worksheet and procedure summary record it as subject the at baseline and the written procedure note records while the CRF worksheet and procedure summary record it as subject the at baseline records for the while the procedure summary records it as subject the at baseline records for the while the CRF worksheet and procedure summary record it as subject the at baseline records for the while the CRF worksheet and procedure summary record it as subject the at baseline records for the subject the and the remainder of the as while the CRF worksheet and procedure summary record the subject the at baseline records for the while the CRF worksheet and procedure summary record the subject to the subject the subject to the sub
	b.) Ot i.	her inconsistencies in the study records include: Subject your response letter notes that totaling were used for the subject "to However, there is no mention of the study records.
	ii.	Subject : the CRF worksheet records the as the which the baseline reports as The procedure summary records the as the which the baseline reports as normal.

_	garding the study, you failed to adhere to the above-failure includes but is not limited to the following:	stated regulations. An example of
c.)	The study records for Subject variously record the Cornel (procedure flow sheet), a (CRF worksheet).	as a procedure report), or a procedure report and a procedure report

The violations described above are not intended to be an all inclusive list of problems that may exist with your clinical study. It is your responsibility as a clinical investigator to ensure compliance with the Act and applicable regulations.

Within fifteen (15) working days of receiving this letter, please provide written documentation of the actions you have taken or will take to correct these violations and prevent the recurrence of similar violations in current or future studies for which you are the clinical investigator. Failure to respond to this letter and take appropriate corrective action could result in the FDA taking regulatory action without further notice to you. In addition, FDA could initiate disqualification proceedings against you in accordance with 21 CFR. 812.119.

You will find information to assist you in understanding your responsibilities and planning your corrective actions in the <u>FDA Information Sheets Guidance for Institutional Review Boards and Clinical Investigators</u>, which can be found at http://www.fda.gov/oc/ohrt/irbs/. Any submitted corrective action plan must include projected completion dates for each action to be accomplished. Please send your response to:

Food and Drug Administration
Center for Devices and Radiological Health
Office of Compliance, Division of Bioresearch Monitoring, HFZ-311
9200 Corporate Blvd., Rockville, Maryland 20850
Attention: Ms. Doreen Kezer, Chief, Special Investigations Branch.

A copy of this letter has been sent to the FDA Cincinnati District Office, 6751 Steger Drive, Cincinnati, OH 45237. Please send a copy of your response to that office.

If you have any questions, please contact Ms. Doreen Kezer at 240-276-0125 or at

Doreen.Kezer@fda.hhs.gov.

Sincerely yours

Timothy A. Ulatowski

Director

Office of Compliance

Center for Devices and Radiological Health

IRB/Purged Copy to:

	EMH Regional Medical Center IRB 630 East River St. Elyria, OH 44035			
Sponsor/Purged Copy to:				
	and			